			ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,138	10/30/2003	Jung Yun Do	51876P404	3451
8791 7590 05:04/2005 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KUGEL, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,138	DO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy J. Kugel	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		vith the correspondence addres	is
Period for Reply			
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply signified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example.	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	projan priority under 25 H.C.O.	(d) = (0)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign prionty under 55 0.5.C.	\mathcal{F} (a)-(a) of (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority doct		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of th			ge
application from the International E			-
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•	ot received.	
	·		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 	148) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO)		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)

U.S.	Pau	en an	u trauei	nark Om
PT	OL	-326	(Rev.	1-04)

.

A

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 are pending as filed 30 October 2003.

Election of Species

1

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species
 comprising the group of polymers from claim 2, illustrated in Formulas 21, 23, 6, 12, 16,
 29, 8, 14, 18, 30, 25, 27 or 31. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a
 single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

||

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species
 comprising the group of chromophores from Table 1. Applicant is required under 35
 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is
 traversed.

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/699,138 Art Unit: 1712

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Eric Hyman on 26 April 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Kugel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1460. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 3:30 PM Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,138 Art Unit: 1712

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700